

**Dane County SSI Managed Care QA Workgroup
Issue Log**

ISSUE	DATE	STATUS	DECISION (Date Made)
1. HSRS data presently is inconsistent in quality. There is a question of whether some of the data that is currently collected through HSRS will be collected through the functional screen.	Ongoing	DDES may seek approval from the Secretary to replace part of the HSRS data collection with the functional screen if Dane County/CLA are supportive..	
2. Data sources need to be determined for each quality indicator used for program evaluation.	January 2005	A tentative list of data sources is done. Each data source needs to be evaluated regarding the administrative burden for collecting, analyzing and disseminating the data.	
3. Is it realistic to add expensive evaluation instruments like the ROSA interview tool in a managed care program?	January 2005	Alternative instruments such as CAHPS, MSHIP, parts of ROSA and the ECHO survey are also being considered.	
4. Employment as a quality indicator needs to be defined.	January 2005	As this is a required data element for the Mental Health Block Grant, DDES will work on this definition.	
5. How will data from sources other than encounter data be integrated into an evaluation of the Dane SSI MC Program?	January 2005	An evaluation implementation timeline is being developed along with a plan for integration of data sources.	
6. How will CLA encounter data be processed by DHCF? Will CLA encounter data have the capacity to be compared to Milwaukee HMO data (so that comparative analysis can be done)?	January 2005	A meeting was held in February of 2005 which crosswalked what pieces of encounter data were missing in the CLA system to assess whether they can be added.	
7. How will the Predictive Model be integrated into the evaluation plan?	January 2005	A description of how the Predictive Model will be used to target high risk individuals for early intervention is described in the waiver application. It should be decided whether some type of follow-up measure will be used to measure efficacy of this approach.	
8. How will the encounter data and data from other sources be used? What questions need to be answered? Who are the stakeholders who are interested in the outcome data? How will data be presented? How often will data be disseminated? Who will do the analysis?	January 2005	An evaluation work plan is being developed.	

**Dane County SSI Managed Care QA Workgroup
Issue Log**
